



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|-----------------|-------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/065,689      | 11/08/2002  | Jiang Hsieh          | 128402              | 2327             |

23465 7590 07/14/2006  
JOHN S. BEULICK  
C/O ARMSTRONG TEASDALE, LLP  
ONE METROPOLITAN SQUARE  
SUITE 2600  
ST LOUIS, MO 63102-2740

EXAMINER

ROY, BAISAKHI

ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER

3737

DATE MAILED: 07/14/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

88

|                              |                                      |                                     |  |
|------------------------------|--------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|--|
| <b>Office Action Summary</b> | <b>Application No.</b><br>10/065,689 | <b>Applicant(s)</b><br>HSIEH ET AL. |  |
|                              | <b>Examiner</b><br>Baisakhi Roy      | <b>Art Unit</b><br>3737             |  |

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

### Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

### Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 28 April 2006.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**.      2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

### Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-31 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-31 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

### Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on \_\_\_\_\_ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

### Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All    b) ☐ Some \*    c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
  2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_\_\_\_\_.
  3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
- \* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

### Attachment(s)

- |  |   |
|--|---|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)<br>Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)                                   | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)             |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)<br>Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____ | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____  |

## **DETAILED ACTION**

### ***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102***

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) and the Intellectual Property and High Technology Technical Amendments Act of 2002 do not apply when the reference is a U.S. patent resulting directly or indirectly from an international application filed before November 29, 2000. Therefore, the prior art date of the reference is determined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

2. Claims 1-6, 8, 14, 18-28, and 31 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Townsend et al. (6490476). Townsend et al. disclose a system and method for scanning various regions of a patient including the head and neck with a CT system acquiring data including attenuations from Compton and photoelectric processes with the multi-energy CT system configured to be responsive to different x-ray spectra associated with Compton scatter and photoelectric effect (col. 16 lines 65-67, col. 17 lines 1-22). The system involves computation of the cerebral blood volume and flow of the patient (col. 3 lines 7-20, claim 1). The system scans the head and neck of the patient and classifying tissue as cancerous and non-cancerous and improved

Art Unit: 3737

detection of abnormal growth on the bones (col. 19 line 7 lines 60-67, col. 20 lines 1-2).

The system monitors a CT number change in a contrast-enhanced brain study to provide improved CT number accuracy (col. 15 lines 65-67, col. 16 lines 1-9). The system allows detection of the location of a tracer to provide the precise location of lesions and acquire data regarding a targeting agent of a tumor (col. 19 lines 60-67, col. 20 lines 1-40).

***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103***

3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

4. Claims 15-17 and 29 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Townsend et al. in view of Carroll et al. Townsend et al. do not explicitly teach detection of a labeled drug. In the same field of endeavor Carroll et al. disclose a CT based system and method for detecting a labeled drug (col. 11 lines 8-28). It would have therefore been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to use the teaching by Carroll et al. to modify the teaching by Townsend et al. for the purpose of effectively detecting the tumor-seeking drugs.

5. Claims 7, 9-12, 25, 26, and 30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Townsend et al. in view of Fessler. Townsend et al. do not explicitly teach performing a Basis Material Decomposition (BMD) of the acquired data. In the

same field of endeavor, Fessler discloses a multi-energy CT system to acquire data, perform a Basis Material Decomposition (BMD) of the acquired data, provide improved CT number accuracy, and facilitate reduction in image artifacts (abstract, col. 3 lines 58-64, col. 4 lines 26-30, col. 7 lines 15-20 lines 66-67, col. 8 lines 1-44, col. 28 lines 13-15). It would have therefore been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to use the teaching by Fessler to modify the teaching by Townsend et al. for the purpose of reducing image artifacts and improving image contrast.

6. Claim 13 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Townsend et al. in view of Leuchter et al. Townsend et al. do not explicitly teach monitoring or diagnosing lesions related to Alzheimer's and other neurological disorders. Leuchter et al. disclose a method and apparatus of obtaining information pertaining to brain lesions and diseases such as mild dementia and Alzheimer's Disease (col. 5 lines 1-10, claims 25, 31). It would have therefore been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to use the teaching by Leuchter to modify the teaching by Townsend et al. for the purpose of detecting and analyzing specific neurological disorders such as Alzheimer's Disease.

### ***Conclusion***

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Baisakhi Roy whose telephone number is 571-272-7139. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (7:30 a.m. - 4p.m.).

Art Unit: 3737

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Brian L. Casler can be reached on 571-272-4956. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

BR

BR

  
BRIAN L. CASLER  
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER  
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3700